Percutaneous coronary intervention for treating de-novo lesions in small coronary vessels: initial experience with the Essential paclitaxel-coated balloon

Rosa Alba Abellas-Sequeiros^a, Javier Benezet^b, Antonio Agarrado Luna^b, Jesús Oneto Otero^b, Jean-Pierre Déry^a, Tomas Cieza^a, Robert DeLarochellière^a, Lluis Duocastella^c, Alex Gomez^c, Maria Molina^c, Lluis Asmarats^a, Emilie Pelletier-Beaumont^a, Rishi Puri^a and Josep Rodés-Cabau^a

Background Paclitaxel-coated balloon (PCB) coronary angioplasty is an alternative treatment for de-novo coronary lesions in small vessels. This study with the new Essential PCB aimed to evaluate early and mid-term clinical outcomes following angioplasty with the Essential PCB in the treatment of de-novo lesions in small vessels.

Patients and methods We included all patients who underwent PCB angioplasty for treating de-novo coronary lesions in small vessels (reference diameter <2.5 mm) between October 2015 and June 2016 in 2 centres. The primary endpoint was the 12-month target lesion failure (TLF) rate: a composite of cardiac death, target vessel-related myocardial infarction, and target lesion revascularization. The secondary endpoints were rates of target vessel failure and global major adverse cardiac events (MACE).

Results A total of 71 patients (comprising 71 lesions) were included, with a mean age of 66 ± 11 years. A 56% were diabetic and 70% had an acute coronary syndrome as an indication for coronary revascularization. The mean vessel diameter and lesion length were 2.21 ± 0.41 and 20.7 ± 9.2 mm, respectively. Predilatation was performed in 85.9% of patients. The median diameter, length, and inflation pressure of the Essential balloon were 2.0 [interquartile

Introduction

Stent implantation during a percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with either bare-metal stents (BMS) or drug-eluting stents (DES), optimizes procedural success and long-term lesion patency compared with plain old balloon angioplasty (POBA) as they prevent elastic recoil. However, permanent metallic caging of the vessel remains the main drawback of these devices as it may induce chronic inflammatory responses that can lead to late stent thrombosis and/or re-estenosis [1]. In the setting of in-stent restenosis (ISR), the use of paclitaxelcoated balloon (PCB) angioplasty has been shown to be safe and effective, with acceptable major adverse cardiac events (MACE) and target lesion revascularization (TLR) rates [2–4]. range (IQR): 2.0-2.5] mm, 20 (IQR: 15-30) mm, and 12 ± 2 atmospheres, respectively. Angiographic success was achieved in 97.2% of cases, and bail-out stenting was required in nine (12.7%) cases. The incidence of TLF at the 12-month follow-up was 4.2%, with a target lesion revascularization rate of 4.2%. Target vessel failure and global MACE rates were 4.2 and 9.9%, respectively.

Conclusion Use of the Essential PCB for treating de-novo coronary lesions in small vessels was safe, with low TLF and MACE rates at the 12-month follow-up. *Coron Artery Dis* 00:000–000 Copyright © 2018 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

Coronary Artery Disease 2018, 00:000-000

Keywords: angioplasty, paclitaxel-coated balloon, de-novo lesions, small vessels

^aQuebec Heart and Lung Institute, Laval University, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada, ^bDepartment of Cardiology, Hospital de Jerez de la Frontera, Jerez de la Frontera, Cadiz, and ^ciVascular, Barcelona, Spain

Correspondence to Josep Rodés-Cabau, MD, Quebec Heart and Lung Institute, Laval University, 2725 Chemin Ste-Foy, G1V4G5, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada Tel: + 418 656 8711; fax: + 418 656 4544; e-mail: josep.rodes@criucpo.ulval.ca

Received 15 December 2017 Revised 4 February 2018 Accepted 5 February 2018

Achievement of optimal outcomes following PCI in small (<2.5 mm diameter) coronary vessels remains challenging, with reports of higher rates of ISR [5]. PCB angioplasty is considered a valid alternative for treating diseased small vessels [6], with acceptable MACE and TLR rates [7,8]. The Essential balloon is a drug-coated balloon with a uniform coating of paclitaxel in a $3 \mu g/mm^2$ eluting formulation. The balloon incorporates the TransferTech technology (iVascular, Barcelona, Spain), leading to a homogenous drug coating. This allows a more uniform and complete treatment of the vessel, in addition to rapid and optimal drug transfer (within just 30–60 s) thanks to its microcrystalline structure. The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical outcomes associated with the initial experience with the Essential

0954-6928 Copyright © 2018 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

DOI: 10.1097/MCA.000000000000617

Copyright © 2018 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

balloon following treatment of de-novo coronary lesions in small coronary vessels.

Patients and methods

The study included a total of 71 consecutive patients from two centers who underwent PCI with the Essential balloon (iVascular, Barcelona, Spain) for treating native coronary lesions in small vessels (<2.5 mm lumen diameter). Patients were recruited from October 2015 to June 2016. The PCI strategy was based on the decision of the treating interventional cardiologist responsible for the procedure, and there were no prespecified exclusion criteria on lesion characteristics or complexity. All baseline and procedural characteristics and follow-up data were recorded in a dedicated database. A systematic 12-month follow-up was assured by clinical visits or phone contact. No patient was lost to follow-up. There was no systematic coronary angiography at follow-up, and repeat coronary angiography/PCI at follow-up was clinically driven in all cases. All patients provided signed informed consent for the procedures.

The primary endpoint of the study was the target lesion failure (TLF) rate at the 12-month follow-up, defined as the composite of cardiac death, target vessel-related myocardial infarction (MI), and TLR. TLR was defined as any repeat revascularization in the previously treated coronary segment or 5 mm proximally or distally. The secondary endpoints were the target vessel failure (TVF) rate and global MACE at the 12-month follow-up. TVF was defined as the composite of cardiac death, target vessel-related MI, and target vessel revascularization (TVR). MACE was defined as cardiac death, any MI, and any coronary revascularization.

Device characteristics and percutaneous coronary intervention procedure

The main characteristics of the Essential balloon are shown in Table 1. Balloon coating comprised of paclitaxel (80%) and a biocompatible, lipophilic excipient (20%) (Fig. 1). Homogeneity of the final coating is ensured with the TransferTech technology, with a paclitaxel concentration of $3 \mu g/mm^2$. As this technology is based on the ultrasonic deposition of drug nanodrops, following the dry-off process, the antiproliferative drug is presented in a microcrystalline structure. This combination, coupled to the lipophilic nature of both paclitaxel and the excipient, leads to a rapid release rate of paclitaxel with faster drug absorption rates (complete transfer to the vessel in 30-60 s). The Essential balloon has been designed with a smooth transition and a very low tip profile of just 0.016 inch, which markedly improves flexibility, trackability, and device crossability. The balloon is compatible with 5 F sheaths in all available diameters.

PCI was performed according to current standards. A minimum of 30 s was required for the balloon to be inflated. Predilatation with a noncoated balloon was recommended. Stenting was just performed as a bail-out

Table 1 Characteristics of the Essential paclitaxel-eluting coronary balloon

Characteristics	Essential paclitaxel-eluting coronary balloon
Balloon material	Latex free: nylon/pebax
Coating	80%: paclitaxel 3 μg/mm ²
0	20%: biocompatible, lipophilic excipient
Balloon properties	Semicompliant (10-15%)
	2 radiopaque polymeric markers of high- flexible tungsten base
Balloon inflation pressure	Nominal inflation pressure: 6 atm
	Rated burst pressure: 16 atm
	Average burst pressure: 20 atm
Catheter properties	Useful length: 142 cm
	Body diameters: 2.0 F proximal, 2.4–2.6 F medium, 2.3 F distal
Recommended guide wire	0.014 inch
Guiding catheter	5 F in all diameters
compatibility	6 F in case of kissing-balloon technique
Available balloon lengths (mm)	10, 15, 20, 25, 30, and 40
Available balloon diameters (mm)	1.50, 2.00, 2.25, 2.50, 2.75, 3.00, 3.25, 3.50, 3.75, 4.00, and 4.50





The Essential paclitaxel-eluting coronary balloon. Picture of a 3.0×20 mm Essential paclitaxel-eluting coronary balloon.

indication to achieve optimal angiographic results in cases of dissection or acute recoil following PCB angioplasty. In these cases, BMS or DES was suitable. Angiographic success was defined as a residual stenosis less than 30% with final thrombolysis in myocardial infarction III flow at the end of the procedure. Dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) for at least 1 month was recommended after PCB angioplasty, followed by indefinite aspirin. For cases in which stenting was performed, DAPT duration was decided on the basis of stent type and the reason for coronary angiography/PCI [stable angina or acute coronary syndrome (ACS)].

Statistical analyses

Categorical variables were expressed as number (percentage) and continuous variables as mean (SD) or median (interquartile range) according to variable distributions. The Kaplan–Meier curves were used to present the time to first event over time. Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS software, version 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).

Results

Patient and procedural characteristics

The baseline characteristics of the study population are described in Table 2. The mean age of the study population was 66±11 years; 67.6% were men. A history of diabetes mellitus was present in 56.3% of patients, and ACS was the most common cause for coronary angiography/PCI. Lesion characteristics and procedural data are presented in Table 3. A total of 71 lesions were treated. A total of 30 (42.3%) lesions were located in the left anterior descending artery, with 17 and 13 lesions in the mid-distal segment and diagonal branches, respectively. A total of 23 lesions were located in the left circumflex artery, with 10 and 13 lesions in the proximal-mid segment and marginal branches, respectively. Balloon predilation with a noncoated balloon was performed in the vast majority of cases (85.9%), and the median diameter and length of the PCB were 2.0 (interquartile range: 2.0-2.5) mm and 20 (interquartile range: 15-30) mm, respectively. Bail-out stenting was required in nine (12.7%) cases: four for coronary dissection and five for acute recoil. Angiographic success was achieved in 69 (97.2%) lesions. The mean duration of DAPT was 10 ± 5 months, and 12 (17%) patients received DAPT for just 1 month.

Clinical outcomes

The main clinical outcomes at the 12-month follow-up are shown in Table 4. At the 12-month follow-up, there

Table 2 Baseline characteristics of the study p	population
---	------------

Characteristics	N=71
Age (years)	66±11
Male	48 (67.6)
Hypertension	53 (74.6)
Diabetes mellitus	40 (56.3)
Dyslipidemia	40 (56.3)
Smoker	29 (40.8)
Chronic kidney disease ^a	12 (16.9)
Peripheral artery disease	10 (14.1)
Atrial fibrillation	10 (14.1)
Previous myocardial infarction	11 (15.5)
Previous CABG	4 (5.6)
Previous PCI	19 (26.8)
Mean LVEF (%)	56 ± 11.4
LVEF <50%	20 (28.2)
Indication for coronary angiography	
Stable angina	21 (29.6)
Unstable angina-NSTEMI	39 (54.9)
STEMI	11 (15.5)

Values are expressed as n (%) or mean \pm SD.

CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NSTEMI, non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

^aChronic kidney disease was defined as an estimated glomerular filtration rate less than 60 ml/min/1.73 m² (Levey-modified MDRD formula).

were two noncardiac deaths (secondary to hemorrhagic stroke and cancer). One target vessel MIs occurred during the follow-up period. A total of three (4.2%) patients who had undergone simple PCB angioplasty (with no

Table 3	Lesion	characteristics	and	procedural	characteristics

Radial approach 61 (85.9) Target vessel 30 (42.3) LCX 23 (32.4) RCA 17 (23.9) Saphenous graft 1 (1.4) AHA lesion classification 7 (9.9) B1 35 (49.3) B2 23 (32.4) C 6 (8.5) 'De-novo' lesions 71 (100.0) CTO 2 (2.8) Bifurcations 23 (32.4) OStial lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 33 (46.5) None 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) 1 1 (1.4) II 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 \pm 0.12	Angiographic lesion characteristics	N=71
Target vessel 30 (42.3) LAD 30 (42.3) LCX 23 (32.4) RCA 17 (23.9) Saphenous graft 1 (1.4) AHA lesion classification 7 (9.9) B1 35 (49.3) B2 23 (32.4) C 6 (8.5) De-novo' lesions 71 (100.0) CTO 2 (2.8) Bifurcations 23 (32.4) Octal lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 11 (15.5) None 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) 1 1 (1.4) II 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 6 DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + BMS <td< td=""><td>Radial approach</td><td>61 (85.9)</td></td<>	Radial approach	61 (85.9)
LAD 30 (42.3) LCX 23 (32.4) RCA 17 (23.9) Saphenous graft 1 (1.4) AHA lesion classification 7 A 7 (9.9) B1 35 (49.3) B2 23 (32.4) C 6 (8.5) 'De-novo' lesions 71 (100.0) CTO 2 (2.8) Bifurcations 23 (32.4) OStial lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 33 (46.5) None 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) 1 1 (1.4) II 5 (7.0) III 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 \pm 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 \pm 0.41 Procedural characteristics 5 (7.0) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6)	Target vessel	
LCX 23 (32.4) RCA 17 (23.9) Saphenous graft 1 (1.4) AHA lesion classification 7 (9.9) B1 35 (49.3) B2 23 (32.4) C 6 (8.5) 'De-novo' lesions 71 (100.0) CTO 2 (2.8) Bifurcations 23 (32.4) Octo 2 (2.8) Bifurcations 23 (32.4) Ostial lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) 1 1 (1.4) II 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 \pm 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB lengtm (mm) <t< td=""><td>LAD</td><td>30 (42.3)</td></t<>	LAD	30 (42.3)
RCA 17 (23.9) Saphenous graft 1 (1.4) AHA lesion classification 7 (9.9) B1 35 (49.3) B2 23 (32.4) C 6 (8.5) 'De-novo' lesions 71 (100.0) CTO 2 (2.8) Bifurcations 23 (32.4) Ostial lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) I 1 (1.4) II 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7±9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21±0.41 Procedural characteristics 5 (7.0) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.	LCX	23 (32.4)
Saphenous graft 1 (1.4) AHA lesion classification 7 (9.9) B1 35 (49.3) B2 23 (32.4) C 6 (8.5) 'De-novo' lesions 71 (100.0) CTO 2 (2.8) Bifurcations 23 (32.4) Ostial lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) I 1 (1.4) II 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 5 (7.0) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation <	RCA	17 (23.9)
AHA lesion classification 7 (9.9) B1 35 (49.3) B2 23 (32.4) C 6 (8.5) 'De-novo' lesions 71 (100.0) CTO 2 (2.8) Bifurcations 23 (32.4) Ostial lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 33 (46.5) None 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIM flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) 1 1 (1.4) II 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 5 (7.0) DEB 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB diemeter (mm) 20 (15–30)	Saphenous graft	1 (1.4)
A 7 (9.9) B1 35 (49.3) B2 23 (32.4) C 6 (8.5) 'De-novo' lesions 71 (100.0) CTO 2 (2.8) Bifurcations 23 (32.4) Ostial lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 33 (46.5) None 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) 1 1 (1.4) II 5 (7.0) III 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 \pm 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 \pm 0.41 Procedural characteristics 5 (7.0) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 \pm 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB lengter (mm) 20 (20–25)	AHA lesion classification	
B1 $35 (49.3)$ B2 $23 (32.4)$ C $6 (8.5)$ 'De-novo' lesions 71 (100.0) CTO $2 (2.8)$ Bifurcations $23 (32.4)$ Ostial lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification $33 (46.5)$ Mole $33 (46.5)$ Mild $27 (38.0)$ Moderate $6 (8.5)$ Severe $5 (7.0)$ TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 $8 (11.3)$ I $1 (1.4)$ II $5 (7.0)$ III $5 (7.0)$ BEB $62 (87.3)$ DEB $62 (87.3)$ DEB + BMS $5 (7.0)$ DEB + DES $4 (5.6)$ Predilatation $61 (85.9)$ Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) $20 (20-25)$	Α	7 (9.9)
B2 23 (32.4) C 6 (8.5) 'De-novo' lesions 71 (100.0) CTO 2 (2.8) Bifurcations 23 (32.4) Ostial lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 11 (15.5) None 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) I 1 (1.4) II 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 \pm 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 \pm 0.41 Procedural characteristics 5 (7.0) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 \pm 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB diengthere 2 (20–2 2)	B1	35 (49.3)
C 6 (8.5) 'De-novo' lesions 71 (100.0) CTO 2 (2.8) Bifurcations 23 (32.4) Ostial lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) I 1 (1.4) II 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 5 (7.0) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 20 (15–30)	B2	23 (32.4)
'De-novo' lesions 71 (100.0) CTO 2 (2.8) Bifurcations 23 (32.4) Ostial lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIM flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) 1 1 (1.4) II 5 (7.0) III 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 5 (7.0) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 20 (15–30)	С	6 (8.5)
CTO 2 (2.8) Bifurcations 23 (32.4) Ostial lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) 1 1 (1.4) II 5 (7.0) III 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 5 (7.0) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 20 (15–30)	'De-novo' lesions	71 (100.0)
Bifurcations 23 (32.4) Ostial lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 33 (46.5) None 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) 1 1 (1.4) II 5 (7.0) III 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 5 (7.0) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB length (mm) 20 (20–25)	СТО	2 (2.8)
Ostial lesions 11 (15.5) Lesions calcification 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) I 1 (1.4) II 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) IB 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01±0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB Hords (mm) 20 (15–30)	Bifurcations	23 (32.4)
Lesions calcification 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) I 1 (1.4) II 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 0 DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15-30) Median DEB DEB dispeter (mm) 20 (20-25)	Ostial lesions	11 (15.5)
None 33 (46.5) Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) I 1 (1.4) II 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) III 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 0 DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 20 (20–25)	Lesions calcification	. ,
Mild 27 (38.0) Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) I 1 (1.4) I 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) III 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 0 DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 20 (20–25)	None	33 (46.5)
Moderate 6 (8.5) Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 0 0 8 (11.3) 1 1 (1.4) II 5 (7.0) III 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 0 DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 2 (20–25)	Mild	27 (38.0)
Severe 5 (7.0) TIMI flow before PCI 8 (11.3) 0 8 (11.3) I 1 (1.4) II 5 (7.0) III 5 (7.0) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 62 (87.3) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15-30) Median DEB DEB (mmpter (mm)) 20 (15-30)	Moderate	6 (8.5)
TIMI flow before PCI 8 (11.3) 0 8 (11.3) 1 1 (1.4) II 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 62 (87.3) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15-30) Median DEB Berget (mm) 2 (20-25)	Severe	5 (7.0)
0 8 (11.3) I 1 (1.4) II 5 (7.0) III 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics DEB DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15-30) Median DEB BLES 2 (20-25)	TIMI flow before PCI	
I 1 (1.4) II 5 (7.0) III 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics DEB DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15-30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 2 (20-25)	0	8 (11.3)
II 5 (7.0) III 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics DEB DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15-30) Modian DEB B Length (mm) 2 (20-25)	1	1 (1.4)
III 57 (80.3) Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 0 DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15-30) Median DEB DEB diameter (mm) 2 (20-25)	11	5 (7.0)
Mean lesion length (mm) 20.7 ± 9.20 Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21 ± 0.41 Procedural characteristics 62 (87.3) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15-30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 2 (20-25)	III	57 (80.3)
Mean vessel diameter (mm) 2.21±0.41 Procedural characteristics 62 (87.3) DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01±0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 2 (20–25)	Mean lesion length (mm)	20.7±9.20
Procedural characteristics 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 2 (20–25)	Mean vessel diameter (mm)	2.21 ± 0.41
DEB 62 (87.3) DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 2 (20–25)	Procedural characteristics	
DEB + BMS 5 (7.0) DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01±0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15-30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 21 (20-25)	DEB	62 (87.3)
DEB + DES 4 (5.6) Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01±0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15-30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 2 (20-25)	DEB+BMS	5 (7.0)
Predilatation 61 (85.9) Mean number of used DEB 1.01±0.12 Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15-30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 2 (20-25)	DEB + DES	4 (5.6)
Mean number of used DEB 1.01 ± 0.12 Median DEB length (mm)20 (15-30)Median DEB diameter (mm)2 (20-25)	Predilatation	61 (85.9)
Median DEB length (mm) 20 (15–30) Median DEB diameter (mm) 2 (2 0–2 5)	Mean number of used DEB	1.01 ± 0.12
Median DEB diameter (mm) $2(20-25)$	Median DEB length (mm)	20 (15-30)
	Median DEB diameter (mm)	2 (2.0-2.5)
Mean balloon inflation pressure (atm) 12.35±2.32	Mean balloon inflation pressure (atm)	12.35±2.32
Median balloon inflation time (s) 30 (30–60)	Median balloon inflation time (s)	30 (30–60)
Bail-out stenting 9 (12.7)	Bail-out stenting	9 (12.7)
Angiographic success 69 (97.2)	Angiographic success	69 (97.2)

Values are expressed as n (%), mean \pm SD, or median (interquartile range). AHA, American Heart Association; BMS, bare-metal stent; CTO, chronic total occlusion; DEB, drug-eluting balloon; DES, drug-eluting stent; LAD, left anterior descending artery; LCX, left circumflex artery; RCA, right coronary artery.

Table 4 Clinical outcomes at 12-month follow-up

Outcomes	N=71
Target lesion failure	3 (4.2)
Cardiac death	0 (0)
Target vessel-related myocardial infarction	1 (1.4)
TLR	3 (4.2)
Target vessel failure	3 (4.2)
Target vessel revascularization	3 (4.2)
Nontarget vessel revascularization	5 (7.0)
Target lesion thrombosis	0 (0)
Death from any cause	2 (2.8)
Global MACE	7 (9.9)
Cardiac death	0 (0)
Any myocardial infarction	2 (2.8)
Any coronary revascularization	8 (11.3)

Values are expressed as n (%).

MACE, major adverse cardiac events; TLR, target lesion revascularization.

Copyright © 2018 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

bail-out stenting) had TLR at follow-up and the cumulative TLF rate (primary endpoint) was 4.2%. The TVF and global MACE rates at the 12-month follow-up were 4.2 and 9.9%, respectively. The Kaplan–Meier curves for clinical events at the 12-month follow-up are shown in Fig. 2.

Discussion

PCI with the Essential PCB for treating de-novo coronary lesions in small vessels in a consecutive cohort of unselected patients was associated with (a) low rates of bailout stenting or periprocedural complications, (b) low rates of TLF (\sim 4%), and overall MACE (\sim 10%) at the 12-month follow-up. The present analysis represents the initial clinical experience with this novel PCB.

The optimal approach in percutaneous revascularization of small coronary vessels remains challenging. POBA and BMS are not commonly used because of high TLR rates at the mid-term follow-up [9]. Although newer generation DES have been associated with major reductions in TLR, poorer outcomes along with higher rates of TLF, TLR, and target vessel-related MI have been associated with the use of DES in coronary vessels with reference diameters less than 2.5 mm [10].

PCB coronary angioplasty has shown reductions in excessive neointimal proliferation (without impacting strut endothelization) [11], with subsequent reductions in target vessel and TLR rates when used as a strategy for treating ISR-PCI [2–4]. PCB coronary angioplasty has also been tested as a feasible alternative for performing PCI in de-novo small vessels. Unverdorben *et al.* [8] reported an acceptable 6-month late lumen loss of 0.16 ± 0.38 mm after PCB angioplasty of de-novo lesions in small coronary vessels. In addition, PCB angioplasty has been shown to be noninferior to the use of DES, with no differences between groups (PCB alone vs. DES) in MACE or TLR rates at the 12-month follow-up [12,13].

The preclinical experience with the Essential PCB showed improved angiographic and histological results at 28 days after PCB use compared with POBA, with lower late lumen loss and angiographic/histologic stenosis [14]. Furthermore, no significant differences were observed between the Essential and In.Pact Falcon (Medtronic, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA) PCBs, supporting the concept of a class effect [14]. The TLR rate at the 12-month follow-up was less than 5%, which is in agreement with previous studies with other PCBs [12,15, 16]. This low TLR rate appears to be particularly promising if we consider that ostial and bifurcation lesions accounted for 48% of the total lesions treated in the present study. From these data, it may seem that PCB angioplasty could be a reliable treatment option instead of more difficult two-stent techniques for bifurcation lesions. Also, diabetes mellitus, a well-known risk factor for restenosis post-PCI, was present in more than 50% of



Kaplan-Meier curves of the clinical events at the 12-month follow-up. (a) Target lesion failure (TLF); (b) target lesion revascularization (TLR); (c) global major adverse cardiac events (MACE).

the patients, a much higher rate compared with previous PCB studies [7,12,15,16]. Importantly, no target lesion thrombosis was observed at the 12-month follow-up. This result may be especially relevant taking into account that

Copyright © 2018 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

most patients in our study underwent PCI in the setting of an ACS (70.4%), which has been shown to increase thrombotic risk. Long-term DAPT was prescribed in most patients (mean duration of 10 ± 5 months), according to current guidelines that recommend 12-month DAPT after ACS [17]. However, it is remarkable that close to one-fifth of patients included in our study received just 1-month DAPT, with no secondary increase in thrombosis rates, cardiac death, or MI. These results are similar to those published previously by Waksman et al. [16], who reported 0% vessel thrombosis rate among 102 patients who received DAPT during 3 months after PCB angioplasty of de-novo lesions. These data suggest that PCB angioplasty could be an alternative treatment in patients with high bleeding and thrombosis risk in the presence of de-novo coronary lesions in small vessels. However, more evidence is needed.

Limitations

The study included a limited number of patients with a follow-up of 12 months, and the decision for using the PCB was made on the basis of the criteria of the interventional cardiologist performing the procedure. There was no systematic follow-up coronary angiography in this study.

Conclusion

Use of the Essential PCB for treating de-novo coronary lesions in small coronary vessels was safe and associated with low rates of clinical events at the 12-month followup. These results suggest that this treatment strategy may be a valid alternative in these cases. Larger studies with a longer follow-up duration are warranted.

Acknowledgements

Dr Josep Rodés-Cabau holds the Canadian Research Chair 'Fondation Famille Jacques Larivière' for the Development of Structural Heart Interventions. Dr Lluis Asmarats is supported by a research grant from the Fundacion Alfonso Martin Escudero, Madrid, Spain.

Conflicts of interest

Lluis Duocastella, Alex Gomez, and Maria Molina are full-time paid employees of iVascular. Josep Rodés-Cabau is a consultant for iVascular and received research grant support from iVascular. For the remaining authors there are no conflicts of interest.

References

1 Hoffmann R, Mintz G. Coronary in-stent restenosis – predictors, treatment and prevention. *Eur Heart J* 2000; **21**:1739–1749.

- 2 Scheller B, Heherlein C, Bocksch W, Rutsch W, Haghi D, Dietz U, *et al.* Treatment of coronary in-stent restenosis with a paclitaxel-coated balloon catheter. *N Engl J Med* 2006; **355**:2113–2124.
- 3 Rittger H, Brachmann J, Sinha AM, Waliszewski M, Ohlow M, Brugger A, et al. A randomized, multicenter, single-blinded trial comparing paclitaxelcoated balloon angioplasty with plain balloon angioplasty in drug-eluting stent restenosis: the PEPCAD-DES study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012; 59:1377–1382.
- 4 Alfonso F, Perez-Vizcayno M, Cárdenas A, Garcia Del Blanco B, Seidelberger B, Iñiguez A, et al. RIBS V Study Investigators, under the auspices of the Working Group on Interventional Cardiology of the Spanish Society of Cardiology. A randomized comparison of drug-eluting balloon versus everolimus-eluting stent in patients with bare-metal stent-in-stent restenosis: the RIBS V Clinical Trial (Restenosis Intra-stent of Bare Metal Stents: paclitaxel-eluting balloon vs. everolimus-eluting stent). J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 63:1378–1386.
- 5 Cassese SBR, Tada T, Pinieck S, Joner M, Ibrahim T, King LA, et al. Incidence and predictors of restenosis after coronary stenting in 10 004 patients with surveillance angiography. *Heart* 2014; 100:153–159.
- 6 Zeymer U, Scheller B. PCI in small vessels: the case for a drug-coated balloon based intervention. *EuroIntervention* 2011; 7 (Suppl K):K57–K60.
- 7 Vaquerizo B, Mirando-Guardiola F, Fernández E, Rumoroso JR, Gómez-Hospital JA, Bossa F, *et al.* Treatment of small vessel disease with the paclitaxel drug-eluting balloon: 6-month angiographic and 1-year clinical outcomes of the Spanish Multicenter Registry. *J Interv Cardiol* 2015; 28:430–438.
- 8 Unverdorben M, Kleber F, Heuer H, Figulla HR, Vallbracht C, Leschke M, *et al.* Treatment of small coronary arteries with a paclitaxel-coated balloon catheter. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2010; **99**:165–174.
- 9 Koning R, Eltchaninoff H, Commeau P, Khalife K, Gilard M, Lipiecki J, et al. BESMART (BeStent in Small Arteries) Trial Investigators. Stent placement compared with balloon angioplasty for small coronary arteries: in-hospital and 6-month clinical and angiographic results. *Circulation* 2001; **104**:1604–1608.
- 10 Van der Heijden LC, Kok M, Danse PW, Schramm AR, Hartmann M, Löwik MM, et al. Small-vessel treatment with contemporary newer-generation drug-eluting coronary stents in all-comers: insights from 2-year DUTCH PEERS (TWENTE II) randomized trial. Am Heart J 2016; **176**:28–35.
- 11 Scheller B, Speck U, Abramjuk C, Bernhardt U, Böhm M, Nickenig G. Paclitaxel balloon coating, a novel method for prevention and therapy of restenosis. *Circulation* 2004; **110**:810–814.
- 12 Sinaga DA, Ho H, Watson TJ, Sim A, Nyein TT, Jafary FH, et al. Drug-coated balloons: a safe and effective alternative to drug-eluting stents in small vessel coronary artery disease. J Interv Cardiol 2016; 29:454–460.
- 13 Giannini F, Latib A, Ancona MB, Costopoulos C, Ruparelia N, Menozzi A, et al. A propensity score matched comparative study between paclitaxelcoated balloon and everolimus-eluting stents for the treatment of small coronary vessels. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2017; **90**:380–386.
- 14 Pérez de Prado A, Perez-Martinez C, Cuellas Ramón C, Regueiro Purriños M, Diego Nieto A, Gonzalo-Orden JM, et al. Safety and efficacy of different paclitaxel-eluting balloons in a porcine model. *Rev Esp Cardiol* (*Engl Ed*) 2014; 67:456–462.
- 15 Toelg R, Merkely B, Erglis A, Hoffman S, Bruno H, Kornowski R, et al. DELUX investigators. Coronary artery treatment with paclitaxel-coated balloon using a BTHC excipient: clinical results of the international real-world DELUX registry. *EuroIntervention* 2014; 10:591–599.
- 16 Waksman R, Serra A, Loh JP, Malik FT, Torguson R, Stahnke S, *et al.* Drugcoated balloons for de novo coronary lesions: results from the Valentines II trial. *EuroIntervention* 2013; **9**:613–619.
- 17 Windecker S, Kolh P, Alfonso F, Collet JP, Cremer J, Falk V, et al. 2014 ESC/ EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization: The Task Force on Myocardial Revascularization of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) developed with the special contribution of the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI). *Eur Heart J* 2014; **35**:2541–2619.